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Authentication: process of using supporting evidence to corroborate an asserted identity

Identification (recognition): establish identity
from available information (without assertion)
Authorization: determining if a request should be granted based on an entity

## User Authentication

- something you know
e.g., password, pin code
- something you have
e.g., hardware token, bank card
- something you are
- i.e., biometrics
- e.g., fingerprint, iris


## Password

- a secret associated with a (public) user identity (userid)
- to authenticate:
user sends userid and password
server authenticates if password is correct for userid


## Attacks of password-based authentication systems

- online guessing attacks
attacker tries logging in by guessing password
- eavesdropping
attacker on the network intercepts the password
- server compromise
attacker compromises server and reads stored password
- social engineering and phishing
attacker fools user into revealing password
- client-side malware keylogging or other malware captures password


## Mitigation for online-guessing

- rate-limiting
timeout, lockout
- "Completely Automated Public Turing test to tell Computers and Humans Apart" (CAPTCHA)
prevent automated guessing
- then make the password hard to guess in the allowed tries
password requirements
length, caps, punctuation
force "good" passwords

Mitigation for eavesdropping:
use encryption, etc., to secure the network communication.

## Mitigation for server compromise ...

Approach 1: server stores
<userX, passwordX>
for all users.
problem?

Approach 1: server stores
<userX, passwordX> for all users. problem?

Attackers who compromise the server, get access to all <user, password>s

Approach 2: server stores
<userX, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (passwordX)> for some key k

Approach 2: server stores
<userX, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (passwordX)> for some key k any problem?

# Approach 2: server stores <br> <userX, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (passwordX)> for some key $k$ any problem? 

The same problem. The server needs to keep the key $k$ in memory. attackes can have access to the key

Approach 3: server stores
<userX, H(passwordX)>
for all users
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# Approach 3: server stores <br> <userX, H(passwordX)> for all users 

problems? (think of the magician exmple)
attackers can burte force possible passwords

Approach 4: server stores <userX, H(H(H(...(passwordX))))>
for all users

Approach 4: server stores <userX, H(H(H(...(passwordX))))> for all users problems?

Approach 4: server stores <userX, H(H(H(...(passwordX))))> for all users
effectively use a slow hash that takes a while to compute

Approach 4: server stores <userX, H(H(H(...(passwordX))))> for all users
effectively use a slow hash that takes a while to compute
if H is 1000*slower, a day-long guessing attack now takes 3 years

## Hash Chain

- this repeated application of hashing is called a hash chain it is used to perform key strengthening
- you repeat hashing so it's still fast to execute in practice when checking passwords
- but if you do it 10000 tiems it takes the adversary 10000 times longer to compute all password's hash values
this helps, but what if the attacker just stored a giant precomputed table of hashes
they would pay this cost once but be able to break passwords in constant time


## Table of All Password Hashes

- the number of possible passwords is huge
how can you except to store this?
you can store the password and hash for the first hundred billion passwords
- around 3 TiB
- but you need to still run to check the rest
- solution: use hash chains
sadly this is not the kind of hash chains we just talked about
Hellman, 1980, "A cryptographic Time Memory Tradeoff" (at that time, 3 TiB was impossible to imagine)
Hellman introduced a solution to efficiently store passwords and hashes


## Concise Password Table

- use a function $R$ that maps hashed back to the domain space this doesn't need to be a hash function, or the reverse function, just any bona fide random mapping
e.g., interpret the hash as a number and have the passwords ordered
- pick a random password P
- compute $H(P), R(H(P)), H(R(H(P))), R(H(R(H(P)))), \ldots$
$R$ is function that maps a Hash back to a possible password
- every so often stop doing this and record the $P$ and the last value E as (P, E).
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{H}(\ldots \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{P}) \ldots)$
given P , you can compute E by hashing and returning
each (P, E) pais "stores" the entire chain between them


## Concise Password Table

- given a hashed password x , run this computation forward until you find a know $E$, then run it forward from $P$ until you find $x$
the value right before is the password
- allows you to only store some number of (P, E)
the length of the chain is the amount of work you'll have to redo the number of (P, E) pairs is the amount of space you'll need
- the choice of the reverse function is important we still want to prioritize likely passwords since all passwords is too large but if $R$ has collisions to the passwords, we won't notice right away (how we do?)
collisions waste time and space! (why is this?)

$$
\text { password space } \longrightarrow \quad \text { hash space }
$$

##  <br> hash space

R
password space
cat
12345
p@ssword
123456
123456789
qwerty
zxasqu
topsecret
password1
password1!


| password space | H | hash space |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | R |  |
| cat | h(cat) | ab34.. |
| 12345 |  | e2e5. . |
| p@ssword |  | 97 fb |
| 123456 |  | 6bb4 |
| 123456789 |  | 1 ac 3 |
| qwerty |  | 66aa |
| zxasqw |  | db34 |
| topsecret |  | 9 ce 3 |
| password1 |  | 24ad |
| password1! |  | e532 |


| password space | H | hash space |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | R |  |
| cat | h (cat) | ab34.. |
| 12345 | h(12345) | e2e5 |
| p@ssword |  | 97 fb |
| 123456 |  | 6bb4 |
| 123456789 |  | 1 ac 3 |
| qwerty |  | 66aa |
| zxasqw |  | db34 |
| topsecret |  | 9 ce 3 |
| password1 |  | 24ad |
| password1! |  | e532 |


| password space | H | hash space |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | R |  |
| cat | h (cat) | ab34. . |
|  | h(12345) |  |
| 12345 |  | e2e5.. |
| p@ssword |  | 97fb |
| 123456 | etc. | 6bb4 |
| 123456789 |  | 1ac3 |
| qwerty |  | 66aa |
| zxasqw |  | db34 |
| topsecret |  | 9 ce 3 |
| password1 |  | 24ad |
| password1! |  | e532 |



| password space | hash space |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| cat | ab34.. |
| 12345 | e2e5.. |
| p@ssword | 97 fb |
| 123456 | 6bb4 |
| 123456789 | 1 ac 3 |
| qwerty | 66aa |
| zxasqw | db34 |
| topsecret | 9ce3 |
| password1 | 24 ad |
| password1! | e532 |





















```
    H
    password space }\longleftrightarrow\mathrm{ hash space
            R
    cat
    12345
    p@ssword
    123456
    123456789
    qwerty
    zxasqw
    topsecret
    password1
    password1!
cat -> ab34 -> zxasqw -> db34 -> 12345 -> e2e5
topsecret -> 9ce3 -> qwerty -> 66aa -> 12345 -> e2e5
```

```
    H
    password space }\longrightarrow\mathrm{ hash space
    R
    cat
    12345
    p@ssword
    123456 6bb4
    123456789
    qwerty
    zxasqw
    topsecret
    password1
    password1!
cat -> ab34 -> zxasqw -> db34 -> 12345 -> e2e5
topsecret ->> 9ce3 ->> qwerty ->> 66aa -> 12345 -> e2e5
```


## Rainbow Tables

- a password table that solves the collision problem
- instead of using one return function $R$, use a family of them:
$R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}$
- the chains are built using $R_{1}$ first, then $R_{2}$, etc.
- wasteful collisions only happen if they are one the same round
i.e., if $R_{i}\left(h_{1}\right)=R_{i}\left(h_{2}\right)$ then the chains will agree after
but if $R_{i}\left(h_{1}\right)=R_{j}\left(h_{2}\right)$, it is not guaranteed that $R_{i+1}$ and $R_{j+1}$ will continue to agree

These rainbow table already exist.
So how can we defeat them?

Rainbow Table Specification

| Algorithm | Table ID | Charset | Plaintext Length | Key Space | Success Rate | Table Size | Files |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LM | \＃Im＿ascii－32－65－123－4\＃1－7 | ascii－32－65－123－4 | 1 to 7 | $7,555,858,447,479 \approx 2^{42.8}$ | 99．9 \％ | 27 GB | Files |
| NTLM | 扫 ntlm＿ascii－32－95\＃1－7 | ascii－32－95 | 1 to 7 | $70,576,641,626,495 \approx 2^{46.0}$ | 99.9 \％ | 52 GB | Files |
| NTLM | 昀 ntlm＿ascii－32－95\＃1－8 | ascii－32－95 | 1 to 8 | $6,704,780,954,517,120 \approx 2^{52.6}$ | 96.8 \％ | 460 GB | Files |
| NTLM | \＃ntlm＿mixalpha－numeric\＃1－8 | mixalpha－numeric | 1 to 8 | $221,919,451,578,090 \sim 2^{47.7}$ | 99.9 \％ | 127 GB | Files |
| NTLM | \＃\＃ntm＿mixalpha－numeric\＃1－9 | mixalpha－numeric | 1 to 9 | $13,759,005,997,841,642 \approx 2^{53.6}$ | 96.8 \％ | 690 GB | Files |
| NTLM | \＃ntlm＿loweralpha－numeric\＃1－9 | loweralpha－numeric | 1 to 9 | $104,461,669,716,084 \approx 2^{46.6}$ | 99.9 \％ | 65 GB | Files |
| NTLM | 把 ntlm＿loweralpha－numeric\＃1－10 | loweralpha－numeric | 1 to 10 | $3,760,620,109,779,060 \approx 2^{51.7}$ | 96.8 \％ | 316 GB | Files |
| MD5 | 身 md5＿ascii－32－95\＃1－7 | ascii－32－95 | 1 to 7 | $70,576,641,626,495 \approx 2^{46.0}$ | 99.9 \％ | 52 GB | Files |
| MD5 | 把 md5＿ascii－32－95\＃1－8 | ascii－32－95 | 1 to 8 | $6,704,780,954,517,120 \approx 2^{52.6}$ | 96.8 \％ | 460 GB | Files |
| MD5 | 把 md5＿mixalpha－numeric\＃1－8 | mixalpha－numeric | 1 to 8 | $221,919,451,578,090 \sim 2^{47.7}$ | 99.9 \％ | 127 GB | Files |
| MD5 | 把 md5＿mixalpha－numeric\＃1－9 | mixalpha－numeric | 1 to 9 | $13,759,005,997,841,642 \approx 2^{53.6}$ | 96．8\％ | 690 GB | Files |
| MD5 | \＃\＃md5＿loweralpha－numeric\＃1－9 | loweralpha－numeric | 1 to 9 | $104,461,669,716,084 \sim 2^{46.6}$ | 99.9 \％ | 65 GB | Files |
| MD5 | 弗 md5＿loweralpha－numeric\＃1－10 | loweralpha－numeric | 1 to 10 | $3,760,620,109,779,060 \approx 2^{51.7}$ | 96.8 \％ | 316 GB | Files |
| SHA1 | 把 sha1＿ascii－32－95\＃1－7 | ascii－32－95 | 1 to 7 | $70,576,641,626,495 \approx 2^{46.0}$ | 99.9 \％ | 52 GB | Files |
| SHA1 | 把 sha1＿ascii－32－95\＃1－8 | ascii－32－95 | 1 to 8 | $6,704,780,954,517,120 \approx 2^{52.6}$ | 96．8\％ | 460 GB | Files |
| SHA1 | \＃sha1＿mixalpha－numeric\＃1－8 | mixalpha－numeric | 1 to 8 | $221,919,451,578,090 \approx 2^{47.7}$ | 99.9 \％ | 127 GB | Files |
| SHA1 | 身 sha1＿mixalpha－numeric\＃1－9 | mixalpha－numeric | 1 to 9 | $13,759,005,997,841,642 \approx 2^{53.6}$ | 96．8 \％ | 690 GB | Files |
| SHA1 | \＃sha1＿loweralpha－numeric\＃1－9 | loweralpha－numeric | 1 to 9 | $104,461,669,716,084 \approx 2^{46.6}$ | 99.9 \％ | 65 GB | Files |
| SHA1 | 彗 sha1＿loweralpha－numeric\＃1－10 | loweralpha－numeric | 1 to 10 | $3,760,620,109,779,060 \approx 2^{51.7}$ | 96．8\％ | 316 GB | Files |

Approach 5: server stores
<userX, saltX, $H(H(H(\ldots(H($ passwordX, saltX))))> for all users

# Approach 5: server stores 

## <userX, saltX, H(H(H(...(H(passwordX, saltX))))> for all users

This is the best approach to store user passwords.

# What do you use to hash a password? 

## Hashing Passwords

- MD5 and SHA-1 are designed to be collision and preimage resistant and to run as fast as possible
- this helps offline guessing attacks
this is why we had the $(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}(\ldots))))$ construction
- GPUs and specialized hardware can make this much faster
expensive for every log-in server to have to buy
cheap for one attacker
this is sunk-cost / all-front problem
- instead, use hash functions that aren't GPU solvable
- Argon2 is preferred (won competition)
uses memory in hashing to stop GPU attacks
take number of iterations, salt, and memory required as arguments

Why do we use passwords?

## Forbes

FORBES > MONEY > FINTECH

## Thanks To Apple, Microsoft And Google Passwords Will Finally Die

People have said that the end of password era is upon us, but we still use passwords all the time

## Disadvantage of Passwords?

## Disadvantage of Passwords?

must memorize; inconsistent composition policies;
cannot re-use; change every so often;
impossible balance between easy to remember and hard to guess; vulnerable to capture and reply;
vulnerable to online and offline guessing attacks.

## Advantages of Passwords?

## Advantages of Passwords?

simple to use and understand; no extra hardware; nothing to carry; quick login; easy to change if lost; failure mode is clear; no trust in third party; easily delegated (though hard to undelegate).

## Example Password Guessing Attack

## President Trump's Twitter accessed by security expert who guessed password 'maga2020!'



Dutch prosecutors believe a security researcher hacked President Donald Trump's Twitter account in October, despite earlier denials from the White House and Twitter. According to The Guardian, a specialist police team investigated hacker Victor Gevers, who claimed to have guessed Trump's password as "maga2020!" and breached his account. "We believe the hacker has actually penetrated Trump's Twitter account, but has met the criteria that have been developed in case law to go free as an ethical hacker," a public prosecutor's office spokesperson told The Guardian.

## Password Recovery

- used if password if forgotten
- major failure mode of passwords
- what's the other failure mode?
- principle:
server authenticated the user some other way
- a working password is then delivered to that user
- password reset
- ideally server doesn't actually know password
- e.g., is stored hashed
- user is given opportunity to reset the password


## Password Recovery

- typically send password or a link over email account created with an email address
uses the fact that I still know my password to email
- this means there are now two ways of logging in
- i.e., either of two passwords can work

WEAKEST LINK SECURITY

## Default Passwords

- Pennsylvania ice cream shop phone scam voicemail PIN default to last four digits of phone number
- SAFE DEFAULTS
criminals change message to "I accept collect call" and make \$8600 call
- A US courthouse server: "public" / "public"
- NY Times employee DB: password = last 4 SSN digits


# Gary McKinnon: Scottish sysadmin and hacker 

## Gary McKinnon: Scottish sysadmin and hacker

In 2001/2: hacked 97 US military and NASA computers

## Gary McKinnon: Scottish sysadmin and hacker

In 2001/2: hacked 97 US military and NASA computers
Goal: find evidence of UFO coverups and free energy tech suppressions

## Gary McKinnon: Scottish sysadmin and hacker

In 2001/2: hacked 97 US military and NASA computers
Goal: find evidence of UFO coverups and free energy tech suppressions

Method: perl script randomly looking for blank and default passwords to administrator accounts

## Rockyou Hack (2009)

- "social gaming" company
- database with 32 million user passwords from partner social networks
- passwords stored in the clear (plaintext)
- December 2009: entire database hacked using an SQL injection attack
- more on this later!

Top Passwords

# Top Passwords 
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## Top Passwords

123456
12345
123456789
Password
iloveyou
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Top Passwords
123456
12345
123456789
Password
iloveyou
princess
rockyou
1234567
12345678

Top Passwords
123456
12345
123456789
Password
iloveyou
princess
rockyou
1234567
12345678
abc123

## Adobe Passwords (2013)

- leaked about 38 million active user accounts
- encrypted with 3DES in ECB mode
- the key was not leaked
- included user-settable password hints


## Linkedin Hack (2012)

- 177 million unsalted SHA1 password hashes
- most common

123456
linkedin
password
123456789
12346578
111111
1235467
654321

- qwerty
sunshine
000000

Poring through the database, the trio found an entry for Trump as well as the hash for Trump's password:

07b8938319c267dcdb501665220204bbde87bf1d

First part is a dictionary attack to get password

First part is a dictionary attack to get password second part is credential stuffing

First part is a dictionary attack to get password

## second part is credential stuffing

using a username/password found in one place and trying to log into somewhere else with it

First part is a dictionary attack to get password second part is credential stuffing
using a username/password found in one place and trying to log into somewhere else with it
why they say use different passwords for different sites

First part is a dictionary attack to get password second part is credential stuffing
using a username/password found in one place and trying to log into somewhere else with it
why they say use different passwords for different sites
you password is as safe as the least competent place that stores it

## Sarah Palin's Email Hack

- reset password for gov.palin@yahoo.com
no secondary email needed
data of birth? (Wikipedia)
ZIP code? (Wasilla has 2)
where did you meet your spouse? (somewhere in Alaska?)
- changed password to "popcorn"
- Hacker sentenced to 1 year prison, 3 years supervision


## Security Questions

- ideal: only Alice knows and tells Bob answer to

Bob can ask a question of Alice to authenticate
"What is your password" is essentially a security question

- in practice: terrible, doesn't work at all, easily guessable


## Security Questions Flaws

- inapplicable
what highschool did your spouse attend?
- not memorable name of kindergarten teacher?
- ambiguous
- name of university you applied to but did not attend?
- easily guessable favourite colour?
- public record
mother's maiden name?

Mother's Maiden Name is a fact, not a secret.

Mother's Maiden Name is a fact, not a secret. A study found MMN for one fifth of Texans using only free public source of information.

Attached is your $2019 \mathrm{~W}-2$. The password to open the file is your last 4SSN.
***

AEncrypted attachment warning - Be careful with this attachment. This
for i in range(0, 10000):
s = י"
if $i<10: s+=$ ' 0 '
if i< 100: s += '0'
if $i<1000: s+=$ ' 0 '
s += str(i)
print "echo " + s
print "xpdf -upu " + s + " file.pdf"

So we have these widely used passwords that have certain flaws, so what we can do?

## Public-Key Authentication

- instead of giving a password, I prove knowledge of private key
but just giving the private key is bad
- assume Alice wants to connect to Bob's computer remotely

Bob knows Alice's public key
Bob wants Alice to connect, but needs to know it is Alice

- how can this work?


## Public-Key Authentication

- Bob issues Alice a challenge
- some message that Alice needs to sign
- Alice signs the message and gives signature to Bob
- Alice must therefore have this key


## What can go wrong?

## Replay attack

- Eve monitors all communication
- Bob reuses challenges
- Eve already has the answer and provides it


# Solution: don't reuse challenges! 

Solution: don't reuse challenges!
include timestamps and random numbers
(DEFENCE IN DEPTH)

## Mafia Fraud

- Alice connects to Eve willingly

Eve runs some Website (like an illegal downloading site)

- Eve then connects to Bob pretending to be Alice
- Bob issues "Alice" (really Eve) a challenge to sign
- Eve uses that as a challenge for Alice pretending it's Eve's Website

This is also called the chess grandmaster's problem: how was a young girl named Anne-Louise able to defeat a grandmaster in chess?

This is also called the chess grandmaster's problem:
how was a young girl named Anne-Louise able to defeat a grandmaster in chess?

So why is it called Mafia Fraud and not MitM attack?

Mafia fraud / grandmaster problem the victim
willingly and knowingly communicates with the attacker and
unknowingly communicates with the other victim.

Mafia fraud / grandmaster problem the victim
willingly and knowingly communicates with the attacker and
unknowingly communicates with the other victim.
MitM involves the victims unknowingly communicating with the attacker.

## Phone Code Authentication

- assume that people carry their phone
something they have
- when logging in, send a text to their phone text message has a code like GKDFTM
- user enters GKDFTM to continue logging in

What is wrong with:
YOUR LOGIN CODE IS: 24

## What is wrong with:

## YOUR LOGIN CODE IS:

bFdhb8mnYtLuab/pqOmL+vzZ0stZE/S8X9H6nx IcHpW8um0k8MFMLrNk7kp4js5eCBEU1pglL1qS eFGMwVo6abagD6uEOIshb9FFEd0iNi6MxJg1t2 xaysN64vR8+o8zMWmk6RMGU1ashX/hNViKrvsR bGWj6nOMzZ8ToseJtF34zHbegJTX6IfgnSZaja cEd2HKbnPnSTdKxjxXDz8tP//B18+Jbb/ySJ4

What is wrong with:
YOUR LOGIN CODE IS: 52373798

What is wrong with:

## YOUR LOGIN CODE IS: 52373798

no information about who is sending this number potential mafia fraud

## One-Time Password Authentication

- Bob issues Alice a sheet of passwords
- each can be used once
- Bob asks for a particular one or accept any of the list
problem here with accepting any?


## Symmetric Token

- Alice and Bob both have a shared key K
- at time $T$, Alice uses $E_{k}(T|A| B)$ as password
- if a hardware token then noticed when missing
something you have

These non-password authentications can be as a second factor

## Two-Factor Authentications (2FA)

- in addition to a password, use a second factor too
- e.g., token, list, question, phone code
- can be everytime or under certain circumstances
unusual activity
- e.g., logging in from another country
- e.g., outside of work hours
extraordinary actions
- e.g., access HR records, tax information, etc.
- e.g., perform a stock trade
- e.g., buy something (amazon)


## Password Security

- 2FA is important because passwords aren't enough
in 2012, $76 \%$ of network intrusions exploited weak or stolen credentials keystroke loggers
shoulder surfing
same passwords at multiple places
- so demand 2FA!

